

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/575,034	03/15/2007	Bharat A. Mehta	1059.00129	7319
7590 02/23/2010 Kenneth I. Kohn			EXAMINER	
KOHN & ASSOCIATES, PLLC 30500 Northwestern Highway Suite 410			EREZO, DARWIN P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Farmington Hills, MI 48334			3773	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/23/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/575.034 MEHTA, BHARAT A. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Darwin P. Erezo 3773 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 March 2007. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/575,034 Page 2

Art Unit: 3773

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite
 for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant
 regards as the invention.
- Claim 5 recites the limitation "said grooved tip" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-8, 11-13, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 4,790,813 to Kensey.

Kensey discloses a device (Figs. 8-15) used to remove emboli (deposits), the device comprising a catheter 112 having a lumen (see Fig. 8) and a grooved insertion end 112 (see Fig. 13); wherein the catheter includes a grooved tip 162 (see Fig. 15); wherein the tip is fixed to fixed to shaft 140 for rotation relative to the lumen (see Fig. 8); wherein the tip is affixed via a coupling joint 157, which is rigidly attached to the catheter; wherein the grooved insertion end includes a spiral groove 132; wherein the

Application/Control Number: 10/575,034

Art Unit: 3773

device includes perfusion sideholes for delivering drugs (col. 10, II. 40-48), and a plunger 204 for preventing the migration of the dislodged deposits/thrombus. Kensey also discloses the use of inserting said device into the patient and rotating the device to break thrombus deposits; removing the deposits from the body (via aspiration; col. 12, II. 28-31); using the plunger 204 to prevent the migration of the dislodged deposits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kensey, as applied to the rejections above, and in view of US 5,290,306 to Trotta et al.

Kensey discloses an inflatable balloon 204 that acts as a plunger to prevent the migration of dislodged deposits but is silent with regards to the balloon being made of hydrogel, which is hydrophilic. However, Trotta discloses that is well known in the art to form a balloon structure of a hydrogel material as it would act as a lubricant for the

Application/Control Number: 10/575,034

Art Unit: 3773

device (col. 4, II. 55-57). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the inflatable balloon of Kensey to be made of a hydrogel material as it would provide lubricant to the device, which would make it easier to more around the blood vessel.

 Claims 14, 15, 18 and 19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kensey, as applied to the rejections above, and in view of US 6,165,199 to Barbut.

Kensey discloses delivering drugs with the device but is silent with regards to the drug specifically being thrombolytic agents. However, the use of thrombolytic agents in a patient's blood vessel is well known in the art, as taught by Barbut in col. 6, line 57. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use thrombolytic agents in the device/method of Kensey since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 227 F.2d 197, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960). The delivery time of the thrombolytic agent would also be merely dependent upon the intended use and would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darwin P. Erezo whose telephone number is (571)272-4695. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

Art Unit: 3773

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jackie Ho can be reached on (571) 272-4696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Darwin P. Erezo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3773